A quantum model of dark energy

Chang-Yu Zhu[1]
[1]*Department of Physics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450052, China*

Heng Fan[2]
[2]*Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China*

(Dated: November 23, 2009)

We propose a quantum model of dark energy. The proposed candidate for dark energy is gluon field, as is well-known, gluons are the elementary particles. We assume that gluons may not be completely massless but have tiny masses, thus the gluon field can provide a non-zero energy-momentum tensor. This model corresponds to Einstein's cosmological constant which is one of the generally accepted models for dark energy. Besides the gluon field, we also discuss the properties of electroweak boson field and compare our results with previous known results.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t, 11.15.-q, 95.36.+x, 12.15.-y

arXiv:0911.3939v1 [physics.gen-ph] 20 Nov 2009

The observed evidences [1, 2] show that the expansion of the universe is accelerating. The accelerated universe is considered to be driven by the dark energy which accounts for about 72% of the total mass-energy of the universe [3]. Recently, much effort has been put into studying this topic and various models are proposed for dark energy. Most of those models can be divided into as Einstein's cosmological constant or quintessence, see Refs.[4, 5, 6, 7] for reviews and related models. The quintessence models [8] can provide an explanation for dynamical process of cosmos such as for inflation in the distant past. However, one of the basic questions of quintessence models is that while a rolling scalar field is necessary but how this quintessence field should fit in the fundamental physics theory. The dark energy models of Einstein's cosmological constant can provide an extremely simple, well-defined mechanism for the acceleration. But a naïve calculations show that the vacuum energy of the quantum field which takes the form of cosmological constant is too big to be observationally acceptable [4]. It seems that dark energy point to physics beyond the standard models of gravity or particle physics, and recently various modifications of general relativity or quantum field theories are proposed, see for example Ref. [9].

While we might consider to modify the standard theories of gravity or quantum fields, we may still expect that a unified theory of general relativity and quantum mechanics can provide an interpretation of the phenomena of dark energy. In the present work, we try to start from a unified theory which includes all four known fundamental interactions of nature. With assumption that gluons, which are elementary particles and are generally assumed to be massless, may have tiny masses, we find that this quantum model of dark energy fits well with observational facts while all well-established results in Standard Model of particle physics and general relativity remain to be unchanged. This model of dark energy provides an origin of Einstein's cosmological constant.

Before going to details of the quantum model, let us briefly present the main properties of dark energy. By the data from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) [3] and other teams, the universe is flat, homogeneous, and isotropic. The dark energy is homogeneous, nearly independent of time and the density is very small which is around $\rho_\Lambda = 10^{-29} g/cm^3$. The dark energy is not known to interact through any of the fundamental forces except gravity. The gravitational effect of dark energy approximates that of Einstein's cosmological constant with equation of state parameter $w = -1$ within 14% level. It has a strong negative pressure which can explain the observed accelerating universe. In this work, the quantum model of dark energy is based on the theory in Ref. [10], here let's briefly review some results in this theory.

Brief introduction of a quantum theory with gravity– It is well known that Dirac equation and Yang-Mills equation play the central roles in quantum theory and Einstein equation is also the central of general relativity. Dirac equation is generally written as, $(i\gamma^\alpha D_\alpha - \hat{m})|\Psi\rangle = 0$. Yang-Mills equation can be written as,

$$D_\alpha F_a^{\alpha\beta} = J_a^\beta, \tag{1}$$

where the generalized generally covariant derivative operator is defined as, $D_\alpha = -i\theta_\alpha^\mu \otimes p_\mu + \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_\alpha^{\rho\sigma} \otimes s_{\rho\sigma} - iA_\alpha^a \otimes T_a$. We may notice that in this operator the first term is the usual derivative operator with spin vierbein θ_α^μ, T_a in the third term is the gauge charge operator in the Standard Model of particle physics with symmetry $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$ which are well accepted [11], and the second term here corresponds to the effect of gravity. The current density is defined as, $J_a^\beta = j_a^\beta + M_a^b A_b^\beta$, which is conserved, $D_\beta J_a^\beta = 0$. The matter-field current takes the standard form as, $j_a^\beta(x) = \langle\Psi|\varepsilon(x)\gamma^\beta T_a|\Psi\rangle$. M_a^b are the entries of mass matrix for interaction bosons, we have $M_1^1 = 0$ for massless photon in electromagnetic field, $M_2^2 = m_Z^2, M_3^3 = M_4^4 = m_W^2$ for electroweak bosons $Z_0, W_\pm$ with masses m_Z, m_W, respectively, $M_j^j = m^2, j = 5, 6, \cdots, 12$, for 8 gluons in color interactions, each with mass m, other entries are defined to be zeroes. Einstein equation as usual takes the form,

$R^\alpha_\beta - \frac{1}{2}\delta^\alpha_\beta R = -8\pi G T^\alpha_\beta$, where G is the gravity constant, R^α_β is the Ricci tensor, T^α_β is the energy-momentum tensor of all matters and fields. Generally, the validity of equations of motion is based on a proper Lagrangian. Here the combined and self-consistent form of Dirac equation, Yang-Mills equation and Einstein equation can lead to the energy-momentum conservation law presented as, $D_\alpha T^\alpha_\beta = 0$. If no gravity is considered, Dirac equation and Yang-Mills equation will reduce to their standard forms as in Standard Model of particle physics. We expect that those equations may be related with a Lagrangian. In Standard Model of particle physics, gluons are assumed to be massless. However, in this work, we assume that each gluon has mass m. Apparently m should not be large otherwise there might already exist experimental evidences for massive gluons.

As in any Yang-Mills theory, the gauge curvature takes the form,

$$F^a_{\alpha\beta} \;=\; \theta^\mu_\alpha \partial_\mu A^a_\beta - \theta^\mu_\beta \partial_\mu A^a_\alpha - i C^a_{bc} A^b_\alpha A^c_\beta - f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} A^a_\gamma, \quad (2)$$

where C^a_{bc} are the structure constants of gauge charge operators T_a, and $f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ is related with spin vierbein, note that gauge fields A^a_α depend on 4D coordinates x_μ which is standard. The energy-momentum tensor of gauge fields takes the form

$$\tau^\alpha_\beta = F^{\alpha\rho}_a F^a_{\beta\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta F^{\rho\sigma}_a F^a_{\rho\sigma} + M^a_b A^\alpha_a A^b_\beta - \frac{1}{2}\delta^\alpha_\beta M^a_b A^\rho_a A^b_\rho.$$

A gluon field as the dark energy– In order to find a dark energy solution, let us make the following assumptions: (i) There is no matters or matter particles, $|\Psi\rangle = 0, j^a_\alpha = 0$; (ii) There is no gravity, $\Gamma^{\rho\sigma}_\alpha = 0$; (iii) The Yang-Mills fields are constant, $\partial_\mu A^a_\alpha = 0$. The observation facts show that the dark energy is generally not any matter particles including neutrinos, it seems not photon, and actually there is not at all any matters. So we make assumption (i). The assumption (ii) is based on the observational facts that universe is flat, isotropic and homogeneous. The assumption (iii) means that momentum of the field is zero since of the constant gauge fields and correspondingly it does not change in 4D coordinate space. That means this field is invariant in 4D space-time and it will not cause any energy excitation, it is like the vacuum state. If we believe that dark energy should correspond to some elementary particles, since of the above reasons, a field of gluons seems the most possible choice. So we assume that (iv) the dark energy is the field of gluons.

Recall the definition in Eq. (2), we find the gauge curvature now takes the form

$$F^a_{\alpha\beta} = -i C^a_{bc} A^b_\alpha A^c_\beta.$$

Substitute the gauge curvature into Yang-Mills equation in (1), we have,

$$D^\alpha F^a_{\alpha\beta} = M^a_b A^b_\beta,$$

so we have a relation, $-i C^a_{bc} A^{b,\alpha} F^c_{\alpha\beta} = M^a_b A^b_\beta$. Thus the equation need to be solved is,

$$-C^a_{bc} C^c_{de} A^{b,\alpha} A^d_\alpha A^e_\beta = M^a_e A^e_\beta.$$

One solution of this equation is $A^e_\beta = 0$, it is trivial and we do not discuss it. Next, we shall consider the solution,

$$-C^a_{bc} C^c_{de} A^{b,\alpha} A^d_\alpha = M^a_e. \quad (3)$$

By considering the definition of the curvature and the symmetries of the structure constants, we can find that,

$$F^{\alpha\rho}_a F^a_{\beta\rho} \;=\; -M^b_d A^\alpha_b A^d_\beta,$$

where the solution (3) is already used, also we have,

$$F^{\rho\sigma}_a F^a_{\rho\sigma} = -M^b_d A^\rho_b A^d_\rho.$$

Substituting those results to the energy-momentum tensor of gauge fields, we can find that,

$$\tau^\alpha_\beta \;=\; -\frac{1}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta M^a_b A^\rho_a A^b_\rho. \quad (4)$$

The explicit form of this energy-momentum tensor depends on the solution of equation (3) if the exact mass matrix M^a_e is given.

Next, we consider about the case of elementary particles, 8 types of gluon. For this case, the mass matrix of gluons takes the form,

$$M^a_e = m^2 \delta^a_e, \quad (5)$$

where m is the mass of each gluon, $a, e = 5, 6, \cdots, 12$. We then consider the solution of Yang-Mills equation (3), we find,

$$m^2 \;=\; -g_3^2 A^\alpha_d A^d_\alpha,$$

where $g_3 \approx 1.22$ is the coupling constant in the Standard Model of particle physics. Consider also the form of mass matrix of gluons, the energy-momentum tensor of gluon field is,

$$\tau^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta \frac{m^4}{4g_3^2}.$$

Recall that the energy-momentum tensor of matter-field is zero, the total energy-momentum tensor only has contribution of the gluon field, thus

$$T_{\alpha,\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \frac{m^4}{4g_3^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Minkowski metric with diagonal entries as $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag.}(1, -1, -1, -1)$. Please note that no boundary condition is used to obtain this solution, and it can be assumed to be valid for universe. Also this is a unique solution. It is now clear that the energy-momentum tensor here (6) corresponds to Einstein's cosmological constant. So we conclude that the density of the dark energy ρ_Λ is,

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{m^4}{4g_3^2}. \quad (7)$$

Consider that the density of the dark energy $\rho_\Lambda \approx 10^{-29} g/cm^3$, we can estimate that the mass of each gluon is around $10^{-3}eV \sim 10^{-2}eV$. It is theoretically accepted and experimentally confirmed that the masses of gluons are zeroes, here we can see that the estimated mass of each gluon is very small thus it should not have detectable effects on present experiments. As is well-known, the gluon field only interacts through color (strong) interactions, also note that there is the confinement of gluons due to color confinement, so a free gluon is impossible or hard to be detected directly in experiments. Actually since our assumption (iii), this gluon field will always be invariant. Thus it only provides the effect of the Einstein's cosmological constant, note that it has the origin from the quantum theory and is independent of gravity. The result that dark energy is the gluon field does not change standard results of quantum theory and general relativity.

The elementary particle gluon was discovered by several experiments almost at the same time in electron-positron annihilation process which was indicated by the three-jet events [12]. Theoretically, gluons are assumed to be massless, the present experiments put the upper bound of the masses of gluon at around MeV level [13]. In this work, we estimate that the mass of each gluon is around $10^{-3}eV \sim 10^{-2}eV$ which is still far from MeV level. It seems that a direct observation of the massive gluons in laboratory might still be difficult. However, recent experiments can already make the observation of single top quark production [14, 15]. As we know since of the color confinement, a single quark is difficult to be observed. We expect that this experimental improvements can make it possible to observe free gluons. Moreover, the additional properties of dark energy observed could provide us more information to test whether it is really related with the gluon field.

An electroweak interactions boson field and comparison with known results– In case the color interactions field, the gluon field, may be considered as the candidate for dark energy. It is naturally to ask whether the electroweak interactions boson field can play a role in universe.

Let us still keep assumptions (i), (ii) and (iii) as presented above, now the additional assumption is that (iv') we consider about the electroweak boson field. As is well-known, the elementary particles of electroweak bosons are $Z_0, W_\pm$ which have masses $m_Z \approx 91GeV, m_W \approx 80GeV$. So as we mentioned, the corresponding elements of the mass matrix are $M_2^2 = m_Z^2, M_3^3 = M_4^4 = m_W^2$, the related part of mass matrix does not have a symmetry similar as for color gluons presented in (5). However, as we know, the isospin of electroweak bosons satisfies a $SU(2)$ symmetry and also the mass of photon is zero and the corresponding hypercharge commutes with isospin charges, so mass matrix of $W_\pm, Z_0$ also has a $SU(2)$ symmetry. By proper definition, we may find that $\tilde{M}_i^i = m_W^2, i = 2, 3, 4$. Now let us make the calculations similar as for gluon field, we find the energy-momentum tensor of electroweak boson field takes the form,

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}^{e-w} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{m_W^4}{4g_2^2}, \tag{8}$$

where $g_2 \approx 0.65$ is the electroweak coupling constant in Standard Model. So the mass-energy density of electroweak boson field is,

$$\rho_{e-w} = \frac{m_W^4}{4g_2^2}. \tag{9}$$

We thus can estimate, $\rho_{e-w} \approx 2.4 \times 10^7 GeV^4$. The magnitude of this result arouse us the previous known results concerning about the vacuum energy to be the cosmological constant in Ref.[4] by Weinberg and others, see also Ref.[16] by Dreitlein.

To confirm that the results in this work are correct, let's briefly review the results in Ref.[16], see also Ref. [4]. For convenience we follow the main notations of [16]. Suppose the system is the electroweak model with $U(1) \times SU(2)$ gauge symmetry, $V(\varphi)$ is the potential term in the Higgs-field Lagrangian. The only nonvanishing part of the energy-momentum tensor is, $\langle T_{\alpha\beta}\rangle = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\langle V(\varphi)\rangle$, which is related with the vacuum energy, where

$$V(\varphi) = \mu^2\varphi^\dagger\phi + \lambda(\varphi^\dagger\varphi)^2. \tag{10}$$

By considering the physical parameters, we can find that

$$\langle V(\varphi)\rangle = \frac{m_\varphi^2 m_W^2}{2g_2^2}. \tag{11}$$

The system considered in Ref.[16] is actually a coupled Higgs scalar boson field with mass m_φ and the electroweak boson field. If we let this Higgs scalar boson also be the weak boson $m_\varphi = m_W$ which is what we considered in this work, we find that

$$\langle V(\phi)\rangle = \frac{m_W^4}{2g_2^2}. \tag{12}$$

The result in this work presented as (9) is exactly the same as the result (12) presented in Ref.[16] up to a factor 2 due to different definitions. The fact that two different methods lead to the same conclusion confirms that the calculations of this work are correct and the method is reasonable.

Discussions and conclusions– One may notice that ρ_{e-w} is too large to be considered as the dark energy. However, if there exists such a matter constituted only by electroweak bosons, the strong negative pressure will cause a big explosion, this might be related with the universe model of Big Bang. The density ρ_{e-w} which is around $10^{25} g/cm^3$ is also very huge, possibly it is suggestive to consider it as the kernel of black hole.

In conclusion, if we believe that dark energy should fit in the standard particle physics, the proposed gluon field is likely to be the candidate. The massive gluon field in the Standard Model of particle physics generally means

that Higgs mechanism should be used to create this mass which also implies that additional scalar fields should be introduced. In case that those scalar fields are dynamical, the proposed quantum model of dark energy in this work should correspond to the quintessence model. From this point of view, we can roughly say that the present work is a combination of models of cosmological constant and quintessence.

Let's remark some properties of gluons. The interaction of gluons is short-ranged, the gluons are color charged. Gluons are assumed to be the mediation particles among quarks for strong interactions and the gluon can interact with itself. There is color confinement in particle physics due to the $SU(3)$ symmetry thus single quark and free gluons are difficult to be observed. However experiments have already succeeded to make single top quark production [14, 15]. For case of gluon, actually, it is a long history of assumption theoretically and experimentally that pure gluon field without quark, which is named as glueballs, may exist [17, 18]. We may expect that the experiments can finally test whether a massive or a massless gluon field exist or not.

By comparing our results with previous known results in electroweak interactions field case, we find that the constant solution of the Yang-Mills equation in our formalism corresponds to the vacuum energy of a quantum field by known Higgs Lagrangian method. One might consider some other choices for dark energy, but the observed facts exclude any known matter particles being the dark energy, the only left choices known in elementary particles are interactions bosons such as photon, electroweak bosons or gluons. We analyzed those possibilities and showed that a gluon field can be the candidate. One advantages of this theory is that while a massive gluon field can be proposed, we can still keep the standard results of quantum theory and general relativity.

Acknowledgements–This work is supported by grants of National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Nos.(10674162,10974247), "973" program (2010CB922904) of Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), China, and Hundred-Talent Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China.

[1] A. G. Riess *et al.* Astronomical J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
[2] S. Perlmutter *et al.* Astronomical J. **517**, 565 (1999).
[3] E. Komatsu *et al.* Astrophys. J. Suppl. **180**, 330 (2009).
[4] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989).
[5] P. J. E. Peebles, and R. Bharat, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003).
[6] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006).
[7] J. A. Frieman, M. S. Turner, and D. Huterer, Ann. Rev. Astron. and Astrop. **46**, 385 (2008).
[8] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 063505 (1999).
[9] R. A. Porto, and A. Zee, eprint arXiv:0910.3726.
[10] C. Y. Zhu, and H. Fan, eprint arXiv:0911.1402.
[11] see, for example, T. P. Cheng, & L. F. Li, "Gauge theory of elementary particle physics, " Oxford University Press (2006); E. Golowich, J. F. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein, "Dynamics of the Standard Model," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992); S. Weinberg, "The quantum theory of fields,"Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996); S. F. Novaes, eprint arXiv:hep-ph/0001283.
[12] JADE collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 142 (1980); TASSO collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**86**, 243 (1979); MARK collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 830 (1979); PLUTO collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **86**, 418 (1979).
[13] W. M. Yao *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006).
[14] T. Aaltonen *et al.* (CDF collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 092002 (2009).
[15] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 092002 (2009).
[16] J. Dreitlein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 1243 (1974).
[17] R. L. Jaffe and K. Johnson, Phys. Lett. B **60**, 201 (1976).
[18] P. van Baal and A. S. Kronfeld, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **9**, 227 (1989).